### **Mathematics High-Value Action Tool**

Title of Resource: *Breakthrough to Math Level 1* Source: <u>New Readers Press</u> Pub Date: 2011

#### Determine the high-value actions needed to fill gaps for the dimensions that make up each criterion.

Identify the high-value action(s) related to each criterion that will strengthen the alignment of the resource to the CCRS.

Criterion #1—Focus: Does the resource focus strongly where the standards focus, including relevant **Standards for Mathematical Practice?** 

Dimension 1.1	Dimension 1.2
Major Work of the Level (MWOTL): <i>Most</i> of the resource is focused on the most critical concepts for that level. (Support document: CCR Content Progressions or Major Works of the Level)	<b>Standards for Mathematical Practice:</b> <i>Each</i> unit meaningfully connects mathematical content with the Standards for Mathematical Practice. (Support document: Standards for Mathematical Practice)
Resource Criterion Rating <sup>1</sup> : Strong Mod	ifications Necessary x Weak
<ul> <li>High-value actions needed to fill the gaps:</li> <li>Identify supplemental resources to address M</li> <li>Supplement existing problems with additiona</li> <li>✓ Identify and add Standards for Mathematical F</li> <li>that are addressed) and include a description of</li> <li>✓ Modify or add student tasks or activities to he Mathematical Practice.</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>	IWOTLs not well represented by the evaluated resource. I on-level work tied to the MWOTL. Practice that are central to a unit (or reduce the number of how they are related. elp support the development of the Standards for
✓ Additional notes on above actions:	
Additional resources would need to be added to make opportunities to practice and develop them.	e math practices more evident and give students

October 2016



#### Criterion #2—<u>Rigor</u>: Does the resource pursue conceptual understanding, procedural skill and fluency, and application with equal intensity?

and application with equal intensity?			
Dimension 2.1	Dimension 2.2	Dimension 2.3	
<b>Conceptual Understanding:</b> The resource <i>regularly</i> develops students' conceptual understanding through tasks, problems, questions, multiple representations, and opportunities for students to <i>write</i> and <i>speak</i> about their understanding.	<b>Procedural Skill and Fluency:</b> The resource <i>regularly</i> asks students to perform calculations and use mathematical procedures quickly and accurately.	<b>Application:</b> The resource <i>regularly</i> provides opportunities for students to independently apply mathematical concepts in real-world situations and solve challenging problems with persistence, choosing and applying an appropriate model or strategy to new situations.	
Resource Criterion Rating <sup>1</sup> : Strong Modifications Necessary x Weak			
<ul> <li>High-value actions needed to fill the gaps:</li> <li>Add problems or tasks that are good matches to the standards targeted in lesson(s) or units and that focus on the following areas: <ul> <li>Conceptual understanding of the MWOTL</li> <li>Procedural and computational practice</li> <li>Challenging application problems</li> </ul> </li> <li>Add high-level discussion questions and instructions targeted toward building conceptual understanding.</li> <li>Add opportunities for students to build the capacity to complete mathematical procedures quickly and accurately.</li> <li>Add authentic real-world application problems and tasks.</li> <li>Other:</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>✓ Additional notes on above Additional resources would be needed problems. Students are geneeded for student to develop fill</li> </ul>	ve actions: eeded to help develop conceptu iven opportunities to practice pr luency.	al understanding, and well as authentic real- ocedures, but additional resources would be	

Adapted From College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Action and EQuIP Rubric for Lessons and Units: Mathematics October 2016



## Criterion #3—<u>Coherence</u>: Does the resource design learning around coherent progressions between levels and within the level?

levels and within the level?		
Dimension 3.1	Dimension 3.2	
<b>Coherence</b> <u>Across</u> Levels: The resource regularly relates on-level concepts to knowledge from previous levels and to future learning. (Support document: CCR Content Progressions)	<b>Coherence</b> <u>Within</u> a Level: Where appropriate, the resource connects two or more standards within a progression, or two or more progressions within a level. (Support document: CCR Content Progressions)	
Resource Criterion Rating <sup>1</sup> : Strong	Modifications Necessary  Weak x	
<ul> <li>High-value actions needed to fill the gaps:</li> <li>Add to lesson(s) or units knowledge and skills from prior levels needed to understand content that students are currently learning.</li> <li>✓ Identify "as review" student tasks, activities, or assessment items included in units that reference learning at previous levels.</li> <li>✓ Identify opportunities where level-specific content supports future learning.</li> <li>□ Exclude student activities or assessment items addressing learning at subsequent levels.</li> <li>✓ Identify student activities or assessment at subsequent levels as an extension of work at the current level.</li> <li>□ Rearrange units so the sequence of knowledge and skills learned in the resource has a natural and logical flow to support student learning.</li> </ul>		
Additional nates on above actions:		
More review is needed to build connections acro	ss/through the levels, in addition to highlighting it in	

instruction.

# Criterion #4—<u>Structure, Support and Assessment</u>: Does the resource provide structure and support for standards-aligned instruction and assessment?

<sup>1</sup>Use Mathematics CCRS Alignment Evaluation Tool

Adapted From College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Action and EQuIP Rubric for Lessons and Units: Mathematics October 2016



Dimension 4.1	Dimension 4.2	
<b>Instructional Support:</b> The resource is responsive to varied student learning needs.	<b>Assessment:</b> The resource <i>regularly</i> provides opportunities to assess whether students are mastering standards-based content and skills.	
Resource Criterion Rating <sup>1</sup> : Strong	Modifications Necessary Weak x	
<ul> <li>High-value actions needed to fill the gaps:</li> <li>✓ Identify opportunities and resources for s students with learning challenges or s</li> <li>✓ Identify opportunities and resources for e content.</li> <li>✓ Identify content specific vocabulary and o scaffolds.</li> <li>✓ Develop standards-aligned assessments a measure a student's ability to demore</li> </ul>	caffolding, differentiation, intervention and support for are struggling to master content. extension and support for students who already know the other language support needs and develop appropriate nd rubrics or assessment guidelines that unbiasedly instrate targeted standards.	
<ul> <li>✓ Incorporate varied modes of curriculum-embedded assessments that may include pre-, formative, summative and self-assessment measures (for curricular units and published resources only).</li> <li>✓ Provide relevant contexts for learners such as career, community, or academic subjects for the purposes of building knowledge.</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>		
Additional notes on above actions:		
This resource does not offer instructional support in extensions or scaffolding either. Additional resour assessments and real-life application (career contermation)	in response varied learning needs. It does not offer rces would be required for this, as well as additional ext).	

<sup>1</sup>Use Mathematics CCRS Alignment Evaluation Tool

Adapted From College and Career Readiness Standards-in-Action and EQuIP Rubric for Lessons and Units: Mathematics October 2016

